



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,443	04/15/2004	Gennadi Finkelstein	P24786	6277
7055 7590 12/18/2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				
EXAMINER SIDDIQUEE, MUHAMMAD S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1795				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/18/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com
pto@gbpatent.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/824,443

Applicant(s)

FINKELSHTEIN ET AL.

Examiner

MUHAMMAD SIDDIQUEE

Art Unit

1795

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-117 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-34 and 54-117 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 35-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF-08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/2004, 8/11/2008
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of fuel cell (Group I) in the reply filed on 8/11/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "there is no serious burden on the examiner and that a search can easily be achieved". This is not found persuasive because the particular search for the elected claims is not required for non-elected claims, that is, the search required for the method of assembling a cartridge is not particularly required for a fuel cell system or method of refilling a fuel cell. Four groups of inventions are classified under different class and subclasses. The applicants are reminded that explanation of examination burden is provided in paragraphs 8 and 10 in the previous office action. A serious burden would be raised even searching in different class and subclasses.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
5. Claims 35-41, 43-44, and 46-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birschbach (US 2004/0146769 A1) in view of Wilson (US 6,808,838 B1).

Regarding claim 35, Birschbach discloses a fuel cell assembly (31) including a membrane electrode assembly, an anode plate, a cathode plate, a removable fuel cartridge (39, 184), and a fuel delivery system (41). The fuel cartridge (39, 184) includes an expandable (variable) fuel bladder (chamber) for receiving liquid fuel (*cartridge 184 is disposable and non-refillable since it does not have any refilling port*). The fuel cell has a fuel chamber (60) fluidly connected to the fuel side of polymer electrolyte membrane [Fig. 2-3, 7, 13, 24-25; paragraph 0070, 0078. Birschbach teaches connecting cartridge with the fuel cell [paragraph 0090]. Birschbach also

teaches transferring fuel from the cartridge to the fuel cell [paragraph 0086-0087, 0090]. Birschbach does not explicitly teach the steps as recited, however, it is within the technical reach of a skilled artisan to connect a cartridge to a fuel cell and transfer fuel to the fuel cell. Birschbach remains silent about variable volume of the chamber. However, Wilson discloses a fuel cell comprising an anode side cavity (34) filled with superabsorbent material (36) which makes it variable volume [Fig. 2B; column 6, lines 12-33]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an absorbing material in the fuel chamber as taught by Wilson in the fuel cell of Birschbach in order to have flexible expandable and contractible chamber for efficient operation.

Regarding claims 36-40 and 50, Birschbach teaches fuel (fluid) transferring from the cartridge to the fuel cell and transferring of water and carbon dioxide (fluid) from the fuel cell to the cartridge. A fuel delivery system comprising valves is used for controlling fluid flow [Fig. 2-3, 19-23; paragraph 0010-0012, 0086-0087, 0090-0092, 0129, 0155-0156]

Regarding claims 41 and 43, Birschbach teaches that transferring comprises compressing the bladder of the cartridge to cause the fluid to enter into the fuel cell and also using a pump (149) to transfer fluid to the fuel cell [Fig. 11, 13, 17; paragraph 0085-0087, 0109-0111, 0121-0124].

Regarding claim 44, Wilson does not teach that the variable volume comprises flexible wall with folds, however, it is within the technical reach of a skilled artisan to shape it with flexible folded wall.

Regarding claim 46, Wilson teaches that the anode side cavity (34) is filled with superabsorbent material (36) [Fig. 2B; column 6, lines 12-33] which makes it flexible expandable when it absorbs fluid and contractable when it desorbs.

Regarding claim 47, Birschbach teaches that the cartridge uses an expandable bladder (86) [Fig. 7; paragraph 0086].

Regarding claims 48-49 and 51, Birschbach teaches that cartridge is coupled to a valve and the valve is opened when the fuel cartridge is inserted [paragraph 0091]. Birschbach remains silent about the male or female connection of the valve; however, it is within the technical reach of a skilled artisan to connect a valve in male or female orientation.

Regarding claims 52-53, Birschbach does not explicitly teach the steps as recited, however, it is within the technical reach of a skilled artisan to disconnect a cartridge after fluid transfer is over and to connect a new cartridge to a fuel cell.

6. Claims 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birschbach (US 2004/0146769 A1) in view of Wilson (US 6,808,838 B1) as applied in claim 35 and further in view of Adams et al (US 2005/0023236 A1).

Regarding claim 42, Birschbach/Wilson fails to teach that fluid comprises fuel and electrolyte. However, Adams discloses a fuel cartridge for a fuel cell where the fluid in the cartridge comprises fuel and electrolyte [paragraph 0047]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize fuel and electrolyte as taught by Adams in the fuel cell of

Birschbach/Wilson in order to have longer shelf life since the electrolyte does not dry out and extra electrolyte may improve the interface between the cathode and the anode.

7. Claims 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birschbach (US 2004/0146769 A1) in view of Wilson (US 6,808,838 B1) as applied in claim 35 and further in view of Deinzer et al (US 2006/0172171 A1).

Regarding claim 45, Birschbach/Wilson remains silent about the wall construction of the cartridge. However, Deinzer discloses a fuel cell cartridge comprising flexible wall with folds made from elastomer [Fig. 3; paragraph 0067]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize teachings of folded wall as taught by Deinzer in the fuel cell of Birschbach/Wilson in order to have compact and easily compressible cartridge.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD SIDDIQUEE whose telephone number is (571) 270-3719. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)? If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MSS

/PATRICK RYAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795